

The Future of Lewisville – Obstacles and Opportunities
“How to promote and plan for a dynamic downtown core area”

Community Sustainability Workshop
G. Galloway Community Center
Lewisville, North Carolina
February 8, 2014



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development



Introduction

The Town of Lewisville, in collaboration with the Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation (PART) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hosted a community workshop to discuss community values and explore the topic, “how to promote and plan for a healthy downtown core area.”

More than twenty-five Lewisville residents participated in this interactive discussion to reconsider how to create a vibrant and sustainable downtown in the context of what makes Lewisville unique and what is important to community members. This workshop is the second in a series of five community workshops to be held around the nation that will contribute to EPA’s research on identifying values that are important to different communities.

The workshop was hosted by:

- Dan Pugh, Mayor of Lewisville
- Hank Perkins, Lewisville Town Manager
- Marty Myers, Lewisville Town Planner
- Mark Kirstner, Kyle Laird and Walter Jenkins, Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation (PART) and Piedmont Together
- Anne Keller, EPA Region 4

The workshop was facilitated by a team from SRA International.

Participants invited to the workshop represented a cross-section of Lewisville town life, including residents and representatives of local businesses; residents of the downtown, Pfafftown, and Vienna areas of Lewisville; community leaders engaged in civic associations, volunteer groups, recreation, education, and other activities; elected officials; and town and regional planners and other staff. The 26 participants included long-time residents and those newer to the community. Appendix A contains a list of attendees.

Dan Pugh, Lewisville Mayor, opened the workshop by welcoming participants and thanking them for their dedication to the community. Hank Perkins, Lewisville Town Manager, then explained that the workshop would help identify different perspectives on community priorities and challenges for creating a dynamic downtown. He noted that while the workshop was focused on the downtown, the perspectives expressed would be useful to help inform other priorities for town-wide planning and management.

Building the Foundation: Lewisville’s Community-Wide Values

Following the opening comments, participants took part in a series of exercises throughout the day to identify what makes Lewisville unique and how the downtown should be developed to support Lewisville’s sense of place and enhance community amenities. The day began with small table groups examining community-wide goals.



These exercises were used to build a foundation for subsequent discussions in planning for the downtown core area. The results of these exercises will also be used to support EPA’s research.

How would you describe your community?

In the first workshop activity, participants were asked to discuss how they would describe Lewisville if they were meeting somebody new – thinking about what makes Lewisville unique. The results of this exercise are summarized in Table 1 as strengths and challenges for the future development of Lewisville.

Table 1. Summary of Strengths and Challenges Identified by Participants

Strengths	Challenges
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Friendliness; people are welcoming • Quaint architectural styling • Citizen involvement • Rural nature; close to the city • School system is very good – elementary and high school are at the top of the charts • Shallowford Square is unifying – it brings people together • Lots of parks • Diverse population • Arts and activities • Handling of transportation (having streets that will run N/S or E/W) • Quiet • One of the highest per capita income levels in the state • Good food and shopping • Excellent pharmacy • Close to fantastic medical services • Managing growth • 200 bicyclists that come into town and bring in income 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need more involvement to plan for senior citizens • Need bike lanes • Disconnect between Lewisville and Pfafftown • Lack of public transportation—need to go to Winston-Salem for the PART bus • May not buy locally because of proximity to Winston-Salem • Not a lot of homes in the 150-170K range • Sewer capacity • Storm water detention requirements that eat up space • 200 bicyclists that come into the town and tie up the streets

What does the community care most about?

In small groups, participants were asked to brainstorm a list of qualities of the community that they care about most. The questions below were provided as a guide:

- Think about what is important to you, and the people in your community, for the present and future of Lewisville
- What do you want to have available in your community that would improve the quality of life for you and others?
- What is a strength of Lewisville that you would like to preserve?



What does this suggest about your community-wide values?

Participants were asked to further define the “why” behind what is important to the people in Lewisville. The facilitation team provided a list of goals developed based on EPA research about what many communities care about. This list of goals was provided as a starting point that could be updated to be more relevant to Lewisville and could be used to help the community describe its values. When the goals are ranked relative to their importance to the well-being of community members, the result is an expression of community “values”. Participants identified the most relevant goal(s) that best matched each of the community qualities identified in the previous exercise. Results are summarized in Table 2. The values identified by each table are organized under “General Groupings” derived from the 2010 Lewisville Comprehensive Plan.

Table 2. Summary of Values and Associated Goals

What We Care About in a Community (organized by General Groupings)	Associated Goals (✓=identified by participants as relevant to focus area)							
	Social Cohesion	Health	Cultural Fulfillment	Living Standards	Safety and Security	Education	Work-Life Balance	Connection to Nature
<u>Governance</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tax Rate • Keep sound financial conditions 				✓	✓			
<u>Community Character</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charm—small town feel • Family atmosphere • Welcoming community • Small town atmosphere • Lewisville as a family place • Relaxed/quiet/secure environment • Concern for the history of Lewisville and its important historical sites 	✓		✓		✓			
<u>Natural Environment</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green—nature • Clean - no litter • Inviting space that invites people outside together (sidewalks, gardens, parks, square, bike lanes) • Shade/flowering trees/benches 	✓	✓					✓	
<u>Housing and Neighborhoods</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safe neighborhoods 					✓			
<u>Facilities and Services</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infrastructure - water, sewer, parks, sidewalks • Services and amenities • Schools • Good schools and library/proximity to Winston-Salem/Easy driving access 	✓	✓				✓	✓	



What We Care About in a Community (organized by General Groupings)	Associated Goals (✓=identified by participants as relevant to focus area)							
	Social Cohesion	Health	Cultural Fulfillment	Living Standards	Safety and Security	Education	Work-Life Balance	Connection to Nature
<u>Land Use</u> • Control growth (max of 3% per year) – both residential and business • Want folks to live and work here • Design – architecture • Comfort with architectural style	✓	✓	✓				✓	
<u>Commercial Districts</u> • Local sustainable business (no fast food, etc.) • Shopping – food	✓			✓				
<u>Transportation</u> • Low congestion—low speeds • Connectivity—pedestrian/roads	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓
<u>Health, Safety and Wellness</u> • Healthy—balance (parks and sidewalks) • Feeling of well-being – safety • Low crime rate • Pace of life • Nearby medical services • Safety • Lampposts and sidewalks	✓	✓			✓		✓	
<u>Citizen Engagement</u> • Community involvement • Community activities – social connectivity • Sense of place – feeling part of a unique community	✓		✓					
<u>Number of General Groupings for which Goal Category was Identified as Relevant</u>	8	5	3	2	5	1	4	2

Each group was asked to summarize the results of the exercise. The summaries and subsequent discussion by the large group centered on the following themes:

- Relationship between taxes and a healthy way of life—one group raised the point that the tax rate needs to be sufficient to sustain investments that support a healthy way of life, including investments in: streets that move traffic and avoid excessive air pollution, places that support social connections, and compact growth that retains open spaces.
- Building on core qualities—participants noted that key attribute of Lewisville is that it is a friendly, welcoming community and that the town could build on this core quality.
- The importance of mobility—one group raised the issue of mobility and quality of life, stating that mobility is as important as having a house. The group noted that if you don't have a car and the transit system is insufficient, this effects education



(e.g., ability to participate in extracurricular activities), work-life balance, living standards, and social cohesion, concluding that an affordable bus service would help those who cannot afford a car obtain a better quality of life.

- Managing growth to define the character of the community—participants discussed the concepts of “controlling” and “managing” growth and the impact of these strategies on the character and identity of a community. It was stated that creating houses and other buildings interspersed with open spaces, natural corridors, etc. could help establish Lewisville as a unique place.
- Sense of place and unique communities within a community—participants noted that Lewisville is a large and diverse place and includes three unique communities—the downtown area, Pfafftown, and Vienna—stating that focusing on one area of the community to establish a sense of place could potentially alienate other parts of the community.
- Nature and natural spaces—the group generally agreed that support for nature and the quality of natural spaces is an important goal in and of itself. One participant noted that the people of Lewisville act as stewards of the water quality and connectedness of natural habitats for the western part of the county.
- Rural character and well-being—one group discussed the idea that Lewisville’s small town, rural character with less congestion and pollution supports longer life, social cohesion, and emotional well-being, emphasizing that when people enjoy living in an area it just makes you “feel better inside.”

At the conclusion of this discussion, each group was asked to identify any goals that they felt were important but were not included on the list that was provided as a starting point. Participants identified three areas that were not clearly evident on the list:

- Senior well-being—participants suggested that senior well-being could be described as a function of a combination of goals on the list but suggested that there could be an overarching goal, similar to “positive social, emotional, and physical development of children and youth” that could better address this segment of the population
- Environmental quality—participants thought that environmental quality (e.g., clean water, clean air, etc.) should be a more prominent goal, suggesting that while it does relate to “physical well-being,” it could also be considered an end in and of itself
- Continuing education for adults—participants suggested that the goal “more advanced knowledge and skills” should more clearly include continuing education for older adults, encompassing more than job training and other career-oriented education (e.g., computer skills for seniors)



Which of these community-wide goals are most important to Lewisville?

Each participant was asked to “vote” on what was most important to him/her in a community, from the list provided at the workshop. This list of goals was reproduced on flipchart sheets hung on the walls. Participants received seven votes (dot stickers) that could be applied to one or more goals. The results of the dot voting are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of Dot Voting to Identify Most Important Goals

Goal Category	Individual Goal	Votes	
		Count	%
Health	Physical and mental well-being	16	10%
Connection to Nature	Connectedness to nature	14	9%
Safety and Security	Feeling safe	13	8%
Safety and Security	Being safe	12	8%
Education	Positive social, emotional, and physical development of children and youth	11	7%
Social Cohesion	Supportive network of friends and family	10	6%
Work-Life Balance	Reasonable balance between leisure time, work, and caring for others	9	6%
Education	Basic educational knowledge and skills	7	4%
Work-Life Balance	Enough time available for physical activity and vacation	7	4%
Health	Healthy lifestyle and behavior	6	4%
Living Standards	Reasonable income	6	4%
Cultural Fulfillment	Cultural fulfillment	6	4%
Social Cohesion	Regular participation in social community activities	6	4%
Social Cohesion	Satisfaction with others and the community	6	4%
Living Standards	Ability to afford basic necessities	5	3%
Living Standards	Job stability and satisfaction	5	3%
Social Cohesion	Healthy family bonding	5	3%
Education	More advanced knowledge and skills	4	3%
Work-Life Balance	Enough time available for basic leisure activities	4	3%
Health	Good quality healthcare	3	2%
Social Cohesion	Responsible engagement in our democracy	3	2%
Living Standards	Reasonable wealth	1	1%
Health	Reasonable life expectancy	0	0%
Health	Emotional well-being	0	0%
Safety and Security	Resilience to hazards	0	0%



The facilitators reviewed the results of the dot voting with the large group, asking if there were any surprises or unexpected results. The results that generated the most discussion included:

- **Connectedness to Nature**—participants were surprised by the number of votes received for the Connectedness to Nature goal. Upon further reflection, many participants acknowledged that this was consistent with the earlier discussion about the character of Lewisville. They noted that living in a place with a rural feel and encountering wildlife makes Lewisville an enjoyable place to live—it's why people choose to live in Lewisville. One participant summed up the discussion, stating that “atmosphere plays an important part in quality of life.”
- **Responsible Engagement in Democracy**—one participant was surprised that the goal Responsible Engagement in Democracy did not get more votes, noting that the participants in the room were highly engaged members of the community.
- **Social Cohesion**—the facilitation team asked the group to consider why goals associated with Social Cohesion did not receive more votes, given the emphasis on this during the earlier small group and large group discussions. Participants noted that they highly value social cohesion and that the fewer number of votes could reflect the voting system. Participants reviewed the votes and noted that they were spread out over several goals under Social Cohesion whereas for Connectedness to Nature, they were concentrated under a single goal.
- **Education**—one participant noted that he thought that the Education category would have received more votes, noting that he represented the younger family demographic of the community. Participants acknowledged that the group represented an older demographic in the community and discussed the idea that children's education is valuable regardless of whether one has children in school.
- **Work-Life Balance**—some participants were surprised that goals around Work-Life Balance did not receive more votes. One participant noted that people were just happy to have a job and are willing to or have to accept some imbalance in their lives. Another noted that sometimes work-life balance is not a matter of personal choice. One group noted that they had discussed this set of goals in terms of easy access to jobs and shopping centers that allows people to spend less time getting places.

During lunch, participants were asked to rank categories of goals (e.g., health, education) based on their individual views of how important each category was to the well-being of members of the community, using a scale from 1 (most important) to 8 (least important). The results of the ranking exercise are summarized below, ordered based on the most frequent responses.



Table 4. Summary of Individual Participants’ Ranking of Goals

Goal Category	Rank of Importance (% of Participants Choosing Rank)							
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th
Safety and Security	31%	25%	6%	6%	6%	6%	13%	6%
Living Standards	19%	19%	19%	25%	13%	0%	0%	6%
Social Cohesion	19%	13%	31%	6%	19%	6%	0%	6%
Education	19%	13%	13%	19%	25%	6%	0%	6%
Health	6%	19%	19%	25%	6%	6%	13%	6%
Cultural Fulfillment	6%	13%	6%	25%	6%	31%	13%	0%
Work-Life Balance	0%	0%	6%	0%	19%	19%	25%	31%
Connection to Nature	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	25%	38%	31%

Setting Priorities Based on Community-wide Values

How do we promote and plan for a dynamic downtown core area?

The remainder of the workshop focused on applying the community-wide values to guide recommendations on promoting and planning for a dynamic downtown core area. Marty Myers, the Town Planner, described the recent history of the town and how the focus on the downtown has been part of a conscious strategy to establish Lewisville’s identity. He described the overall plan for the downtown area, including the plan to protect the core, maintain more open and natural gateways, and address traffic flow with the Great Wagon Wheel Road.

Hank Perkins, the Lewisville Town Manager, then discussed the need to update the plan for the downtown area and the challenges that the town will face. He noted that in order to achieve higher density, it will be necessary to increase sewer capacity to the area, which will create challenges for managing growth. Hank also said that other changes have occurred since the last time the community considered the plan, including changes to stormwater management requirements. He concluded that the workshop would give the community an opportunity to re-envision the plan so the town can continue to develop of the downtown area in a way that meets the community’s goals.

As a whole group, participants discussed why a vibrant, sustainable downtown core area is important to the community. Participants stated that developing a vibrant, sustainable downtown core will:

- Help the community meet all of the important values identified in the previous exercise
- Expand the tax base
- Keep money in the community
- Create a common place for the community to “come to play” and strengthen Lewisville’s identity



- Encourage families to stay in Lewisville and encourage children to return “home” when they grow up
- Preserve and improve Lewisville’s culture

In small groups, participants brainstormed their vision for the downtown by describing “what we would like to see” and “what we would like to avoid,” considering the values identified during the morning’s discussion. Of the initial brainstormed lists, participants identified the one to three recommendations they viewed as most important.

Table 5 summarizes the vision identified by participants. Top recommendations identified by a group are noted in *italics*.

Table 5. Recommendations for the Downtown

What We Would Like to See	What Would We Like to Avoid
<p><u>Cultural, Social, Recreational Opportunities</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restaurants • Places for adults to hang out • Movie theater – small, single screen • Children’s activities • <i>Cultural hall/theater/or gallery (e.g., Yadkinville, NC and Mocksville, NC)</i> • <i>Entertainment (theater, arts)</i> • Like Blowing Rock, NC – <i>restaurants, the park (square), shops, other parks</i> • <i>Places to eat-family style</i> • Things to do during the day/night • Restaurants open in evenings • <i>Utilize park/square more</i> • It’s a social thing • <i>More restaurants and retail shops</i> • Not too much of the same 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fast food • Tattoo and “massage” parlors
<p><u>Development and Infrastructure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Blend of restaurants and business</i> • Fill in empty building with business and activity—creative re-use • <i>Mixed use</i> • Sewer • <i>Housing, including affordable housing for living downtown – permits people to downsize/ live within; walking distance of businesses (above some of the businesses)</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hyper-growth • Excess vacancies • Gas stations • “Big box” stores



<p><u>Architectural/Landscape Character</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create a walkable downtown with green components/gardens/benches • <i>Create an “organic environment”</i> • Business architecture that appears residential • History reflected in architecture • <i>Consistent appearance in facades and architecture</i> • Appealing streetscapes (e.g., fountain)—promotes social cohesiveness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Architecturally unsightly • “Manufactured” /sterile feel
<p><u>Transportation/Access</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need sufficient parking—creative solutions • Transportation linking Pfafftown and Lewisville • Traffic calming (not speed bumps) • Adequate parking • <i>Accessible parking</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor traffic management

Where should we start?

In small groups, participants brainstormed how to get to their desired vision of the downtown. Specifically, participants identified near term actions for Lewisville to take to achieve the vision (Table 6).

Table 6. Recommended Near Term Actions for Downtown

Action Items
<p><u>Planning and Development:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to update development standards • Senior housing development. Retirement people stay here full time • Would need sewer • Look into how to increase capacity of sewer • Plan for cultural hall • Grants – create the plans so can seek grants • Identify available properties in town and propose possible uses
<p><u>Market Research and Community Feedback:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town Meetings • Survey questionnaire • Outing to see other cultural halls—civic club • Do a market study • Study what has worked and what has not and repeat what has worked • Need to move ahead—these are the same conversations from 2001
<p><u>Transportation:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bike lanes – biking safety; keep cyclists in lanes • Examine available parking

Insights from the Workshop

The workshop allowed participants to define what makes Lewisville unique, brainstorm actions to help create a dynamic downtown core area, and identify key goals that can be



used to guide future actions to accomplish this vision. Discussions throughout the day helped define the relationships between this central topic and the community's short- and long-term goals. Some of the key insights from the workshop include:

- Safety and security are fundamental community values. The dot voting and ranking exercises clearly highlighted safety (feeling safe and being safe) as a fundamental value and goal to be maintained.
- Lewisville's identity is closely tied to its rural character and connection to nature. The dot voting, ranking, and associated discussions all point to a community that highly values its connection to the outdoors, open spaces, and healthy living. The high number of dot votes for Connectedness to Nature and the low ranking of this goal based on the worksheets suggest a complex and deep relationship between Lewisville and the environment. Participants clearly identified a clean and healthy environment as an important end in and of itself. Moreover, participants identified this as a means to several important ends, including:
 - Physical well-being that comes from being outside and breathing healthy air;
 - Healthy physical and social development of youth;
 - Emotional well-being from living among open spaces and wildlife; and
 - Community cohesion that comes from enjoying the outdoors together and sharing a common sense of place, history, and pride in environmental stewardship.
- Planning for the downtown core area could contribute to Lewisville's sense of place by reflecting its core values and by providing amenities that will reinforce these values. Participants outlined several amenities that they would like in a downtown area, including restaurants, a small movie theatre, a cultural center, and small shops. They also considered the importance of having higher density, affordable residential housing and mixed use development to create consistent demand for these kinds of commercial uses as well as continued active use of Shallowford Square. It was clear from the conversations that:
 - Participants would like the downtown area to reflect the high value that the community places on its rural character and nature, interspersing natural and built spaces, maintaining a historically-sensitive architectural style, and using street designs that avoid congestion and support walking and biking.
 - Participants recognized that the downtown core area could serve many functions. Most importantly, participants see investments in the downtown as investments in the social connectedness and sense of place that binds the community and can help ensure that it remains a vital place in the future.
 - Participants also recognized that a vibrant downtown core will promote the local economy, encouraging residents to spend locally and others to stop and visit as they pass through. This will support a stronger tax base that will help the community pursue all of its priorities.



- To accomplish its goals for the core downtown area, Lewisville will face some critical challenges, including challenges associated with managing growth and traffic.
 - To support the higher density residential and commercial development envisioned for the downtown, it will be necessary to expand the sewer capacity serving the area. This will create challenges for managing growth in the areas abutting the higher capacity sewer line in a way that maintains the vision of the core downtown surrounded by a more open and rural gateway.
 - Higher density use of the downtown core will create challenges for traffic flow, parking, and safety. The vision of the downtown core is of space where indoor and outdoor environments work in concert. It will be important to create streets that are safe, and feel safe and encourage residents and visitors to use outdoor spaces and to provide parking areas that do not detract from the desired character.
- The relatively recent incorporation of Lewisville and subsequent annexation of parts of the communities of Pfafftown and Vienna have created challenges for the community. A key challenge will be to recognize and value the distinct characters and histories of the different communities within Lewisville while promoting a sense of common purpose. The workshop pointed to a shared sense of values among participants from these different communities, which serves as a good foundation for bringing the community together. Development of the downtown area in a way that benefits all of Lewisville's communities and encourages all of its residents to use the area could help create a more unified community.
- Participants in the workshop saw Lewisville's relationship with the road cycling community as both a pressing challenge and an opportunity. Large groups of cyclists can create congestion and unsafe road conditions. On the other hand, cyclists share an interest in outdoor activity and a healthy lifestyle expressed by participants and could be a source of economic activity.

Recommendations

Planning and investment to develop a more dynamic downtown core area represents an opportunity for Lewisville to further establish its sense of place and reinforce the values that make Lewisville a unique and vibrant community. It is recommended that the community review the information presented and assess whether it reflects the values, goals, and priorities of the broader community, including those whose views might not have been represented in the workshop. If the community believes that the information is broadly representative of the community, we recommend that Lewisville:

- Revisit existing plans for the downtown areas and policies that are in place to help accomplish those plans and either validate the plans and policies or revise them based on the goals expressed by the participants in the workshop.
- Identify plans and policies to help manage growth in areas that will be affected by expanded sewer services, with a focus on:



- Ensuring that new development creates landscapes that are consistent with the gateway concept; and
- Ensuring that new neighborhoods are well connected to the downtown core via multi-modal transportation routes and, thus, help contribute to the vitality of the downtown core.
- Conduct market studies and work constructively with the business community to promote the types of development envisioned for the downtown core and obtain input to help develop policies and plan transportation facilities that will support safe and active use of the downtown core.
- Reach out to all elements of the community—e.g., using surveys or meetings in different parts of the community—to gather input regarding what they would like to see in Lewisville’s downtown core, what would encourage them to visit the downtown, and potential barriers to using the downtown, including access to efficient and affordable transportation.
- Continue to coordinate with the Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation and Piedmont Together to integrate Lewisville’s vision for a dynamic downtown core with regional transportation and economic development plans.
- Reach out to the cycling community to identify: the types of amenities that would encourage cyclists to stop and enjoy Lewisville (versus pass through), street designs and alternative routes that create safer separation between cyclists, cars, and pedestrians, and ways in which tours and/or clubs could coordinate the timing of their rides to be less disruptive of other roadway uses.
- Monitor progress in promoting and planning a dynamic downtown core area by developing and using indicators focused on conditions that will affect the timing of development (e.g., local and regional growth patterns), indicators of responses to investments in downtown development (e.g., property values, traffic patterns, community participation in downtown activities, business activity), and indicators of the effect of downtown development on important community goals (e.g., satisfaction with community, residential stability, environmental quality, health, etc.).

The community of Lewisville is clearly committed to the well-being of its community members. EPA appreciates Lewisville’s participation in the Community Engagement for Sustainability workshops. EPA will incorporate the community’s input in a final report that summarizes input from all of the communities participating in these workshops and offers further insights for pursuing a healthy and sustainable path forward.



Appendix A – List of Participants

Last Name	First Name	Affiliation / Representing
Barefoot	Shelton	Former Town Councilman
Bingham	Keith	Lewisville United Methodist Church
Blair	Andy	The Coffee Mill
Branscome	Brandon	Citizen
Choplin	Angie	Lewisville Elementary School
Foster	Jeanne Marie	Planning Board
Harrell	Jackie	Citizen
Hauser	Darriell	Citizen Volunteer
Johnson	Theresa	Property Owner
Lamack	Chris	Gemini-Lewisville Commons
Linker	Susan	LBC / Lewisville Historical Society
Mitchell	Diana	Citizen and Business Owner
Mock	Sandra	Property Owner
Mock	Zeke	Citizen
Morris	Candy	Civic Club, Historical Society
Morris	Larry	Lewisville Historical; Civic Club; American Legion
Myers	Marty	Lewisville Town Planner
Parker	Chris	Vienna Village
Perkins	Hank	Lewisville Town Manager
Prince	Michael	Planning Board
Rachlin	Ed	Retired Citizen
Reavis	Kelly	Planning Board
Smith	George	Lewisville Baptist Church
Sturdy	Pete	Citizen
Vance	Keith	Lewisville Drug
Wilcox	Lang	Developer